

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,201	04/27/2001	Louis S. Kucera	443-11U2	2151
7590 10/20/2003 KATHRYN DOYLE, PH.D., J.D. MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, L.L.P. 1701 Market Street			EXAMINER	
			OWENS JR, HOWARD V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Philadelphia, P	A 19103-2921		1623	
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>'</u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/844,201	KUCERA ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Howard V Owens	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	is action is non-final.	,				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/844,201

Art Unit: 1623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-13 and 28-40 drawn to compounds/compositions, classified in class 536, subclass 26.71 or 514/51.
  - II. Claims 14-23 drawn to a method of treating a virus, classified in class 514, subclass 75+.
  - III. Claims 24 and 25 drawn to a method of combating cancer, classified in class 514, subclass 47.
  - IV. Claims 26 and 27 drawn to a method of treating a disease, classified in class 514, subclass 75+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II-IV are drawn to methods of treating various disease states wherein the nature of the disease(s) claimed forms a divergent search for each of the three methods. Although it is acknowledged that the inventions are related, as set forth in MPEP 808.02, a different field of search and divergent classification may constitute support for restriction. As such, the breadth of search required for the treatment of any disease as broadly set forth in Group IV is not necessary for the narrow search of either a virus or cancer as set forth in Groups II and III, respectively. Moreover, the compositions set forth in Group I comprise structurally different compounds wherein the AZT moiety attached to the secondary carbon is not seen in Group III. The term "therapeutic agent" as a moiety for R<sup>12</sup> in Groups II and IV encompass compounds

Application/Control Number: 09/844,201

Art Unit: 1623

which are so diverse in classification that the primary molecule as claimed may become a mere substituent of a larger therapeutic agent, which would necessitate a search distinct from that of Group III.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - Species 1 an antiviral agent, protease inhibitor, reverse transcriptase inhibitor, nucleoside analogue, AZT.
  - Species 2- an anticancer agent, a polymerase inhibitor, gemcitabine, ara-C, 5-azacytidine, cladribine, fluclarabine, fluorodeoxyruridine, cytosine arabinoside and 6-mercaptopurine.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Claim 7 attempts to define compounds by their intended uses and not by disclosure of chemical cores. One chemical core is provided: nucleosides. Applicant is requested to select one species, either an antiviral or anticancer active agent from those disclosed in claims 8 or 9, drawn to the nucleoside chemical core containing therapeutic agents. The examiner will rejoin if the compounds/compositions are found to be allowable.

Art Unit: 1623

To examine the various Groups of Inventions and substantive variations contingent upon species of the therapeutic agent(s) and the specific disease states to be treated would indeed impose an undue burden upon the examiner in charge of this application.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1623

Howard V. Owens Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623

Jámes O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Owens whose telephone number is (703) 306-4538. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Supervisory Patent Examiner signing this action, James O. Wilson can be reached on (703) 308-4624. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.